

POWER CONVERTER METHOD AND APPARATUS HAVING HIGH INPUT POWER FACTOR AND LOW HARMONIC DISTORTION

5

RELATED APPLICATIONS

The present application claims priority from provisional U.S. Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/420,193 entitled A SMART POWER CONVERTER MODULE FOR BUCK APPLICATIONS OPERATING AT HIGH INPUT POWER FACTOR, filed October 21, 2002, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by this reference.

10

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to power converter circuits for use in power supply, battery backup or uninterruptible power supply and other power conversion applications. More particularly, it relates to the implementation of an exemplary power module concept featuring high input power factor, simplicity of design, low-cost and good efficiency. The power module circuit having a single-stage and employing only a single-switch incorporates a hybrid of duty cycle and frequency control to achieve low total harmonic distortion (THD), low peak current stress on the transformer secondary circuit elements and low electromagnetic interference (EMI).

15
20

The conventional scheme used for AC-DC power conversion employs a diode rectifier-capacitor filter combination at the front end as shown in Fig. 1A. While this scheme is straightforward and economical, it severely deteriorates the quality of the AC supply by drawing peak currents near the peak of the input AC voltage as shown in Fig. 1B. This current is rich in harmonics (total harmonic distortion, THD, is very high) and results in poor power factor. There are several major disadvantages associated with having high harmonics injected back into the power grid such disadvantages include overheating of the distribution lines, distribution transformers and the neutral line interference with communication and

25
30

control signals, over-voltages due to resonance conditions and most importantly an ineffective utilization of the voltage-ampere (V-A) rating of the utility.

With regulatory agencies more vigilant about power quality and the appropriate standards, e.g. with IEC-555-2 in place, consistent efforts have been made by engineers to develop new circuits for power factor correction (PFC) and/or THD reduction. In conjunction with the PFC circuits, new control schemes have also been proposed. Such is the popularity of some of these circuits and control schemes that manufacturers have come up with specialized integrated circuits (IC)s (e.g. MC34262, UC3854, etc.) dedicated to these circuits.

The following publications are relevant background information to the present invention although not all cited publications are prior art to the present application. Such may be referred to hereinafter by their ordinal numbers in brackets, e.g. the Kochar *et al* article might be referred to simply as [1].

[1] M.J. Kochar and R.L. Steigerwald, "An AC to DC converter with high quality input waveforms," Proceedings of IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference, pp. 63-75, 1982.

[2] M.F. Schlecht, B.A. Miwa, "Active power factor correction for switching power supplies," IEEE Transactions Power Electronics, Vol. 2, No. 4, pp. 273-281, 1987.

[3] H. Akagi, "Trends in active power line conditioners," IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, Vol. 9, pp. 263, May 1994.

[4] R. Redl, L. Balogh and N. Sokal, "A new family of single stage isolated power factor correctors with fast regulation of the output voltage," IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference, pp. 1137-1144, 1994.

[5] R. Erickson, M. Madigan and S. Singer, "Design of a simple high-power-factor rectifier based on the flyback converter," IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition, pp. 792 – 801, 1990.

[6] A.R. Prasad, P.D. Ziogas and S. Manias, "A new active power factor correction method for single phase buck boost AC – DC converter," Proceedings of APEC, pp. 814 – 820, 1992.

- [7] Eric X. Yang, Y. Jiang, G. Hua and F.C. Lee, "Isolated boost circuit for power factor correction," Proceedings of APEC, pp. 196 – 203, 1993.
- [8] M. Kheraluwala, R. Steigerwald and R. Gurumoorthy, "A fast response high power factor converter with a single power stage," IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference, pp. 769-779, 1991.
- [9] Matteo Daniele, Praveen K. Jain and Geza Joos, "A single-stage power-factor-corrected AC/DC converter," IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, Vol. 14, No. 6, pp. 1046 – 1055, Nov. 1999.
- [10] H. Wei, Issa Batarseh, G. Zhu and P. Kornetzky, "A single-switch AC-DC converter with power factor correction," IEEE Trans. on Power Electronics, Vol. 15, No. 3, pp. 421 – 430, May 2000.
- [11] H.E. Tecca, "Power Factor Correction using merged flyback-forward converters," IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics, Vol. 15, No. 4, pp. 585 – 594, July 2000.
- [12] M.M. Jovanovic, D.M.C. Tsang and F.C. Lee, "Reduction of voltage stress in integrated high quality rectifier regulators by variable frequency control," Proceedings of Applied Power Electronics Conference (APEC), pp. 569 – 575, 1994.
- [13] Serge Bontemps and Denis Grafham, "Low-loss resonant gate drive saves energy and limits EMI in 3.5kW hard-switched PFC boost-converters," PCIM 2002 (Europe), Nuremberg, Germany.
- [14] Madhuwanti Joshi and Vivek Agarwal, "EMI mitigation in power electronic circuits operating at high power factor," Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Industrial Technology 2000, Goa India, pp. 267 – 271.
- [15] V.N. Shet, "Power Factor Correction in Power Converters," Ph.D. Dissertation, Dept. of Electrical Engineering, IIT-Bombay, 2002.
- [16] R.P. Stratford, "Rectifier harmonics in power systems," IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl. Vol. IA-16, pp. 271-276, 1980.
- [17] W. Shepherd, P. Zand, "Energy flow and power factor in nonsinusoidal circuits," (London: Cambridge University Press), 1979.

[18] International Electrotechnical Commission Sub-Committee 77A, "Disturbances in supply system caused by household appliances and similar electrical equipment," Draft Revision of IEC Publication 555.2, 1992.

[19] F.C. Schwarz, "A time-domain analysis of the power factor for a rectifier filter system with over and subcritical inductance," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron. Control Instrum., IECI-20(2), pp. 61-68, 1973.

[20] Vorpe'rian, R. Ridley, "A simple scheme for unity power-factor rectification for high frequency AC buses," IEEE Trans. Power Electron 5, pp. 77-87, 1990.

[21] P. Jain, "A unity power factor resonant AC/DC converter for high frequency space power distribution system," IEEE Power Electron. Spec. Conf., Rec. 1, 1994.

[22] A.R. Prasad, P.D. Ziogas, S. Manias, "A novel passive waveshaping method for single phase diode rectifiers," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., IE-37, pp. 521-530, 1990.

[23] K. Yamashita, "Harmonics fighters pursue choke-coil, on converter power supplies", Nikkei Electron., Asia, pp. 44-47, August 1994.

[24] Jih-Sheng Lai, D. Hurst, T. Key, "Switch mode power supply power factor improvement via harmonic elimination methods," IEEE Appl. Power Electron. Conf., Rec., pp. 415-422, 1991.

[25] A.R. Prasad, P.D. Ziogas, S. Manias, "A Comparative evaluation of SMR converters with and without active input waveshaping," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron. IE-35(3), pp. 461-468, August, 1988.

[26] N. Mohan, T.M. Undeland, R.J. Ferraro, "Sinusoidal line current rectification with a 100kHz BN-SIT step up converter," IEEE Power Electron. Spec. Conf., Rec., pp. 92-98, 1984.

[27] B. Andreyak, C.H. Yeam, J.A.O. Connor, "UC3852 controlled on-time zero current switched power factor correction preregulator," Design Guide (Preliminary), Application note U-132, Unitrode Power Supply Design Seminar Manual, SEM 800, 1991.

- [28] P.N. Enjeti, R. Martinez, "A high performance single phase AC to DC rectifier with input power factor correction," IEEE Appl. Power Electron. Conf., Rec., pp. 196-203, 1993.
- [29] M.S. Dawande, G.K. Dubey, "Bang bang current control with
5 predecided switching frequency for switch mode rectifiers," IEEE Power Electron Drives Syst. Conf., Rec., pp. 538-542, 1995.
- [30] C. Zhou, R.B. Ridley, F.C. Lee, "Design and analysis of hysteretic boost power factor correction circuit," IEEE Power Electron. Spec. Conf., Rec., pp. 800 – 807, 1990.
- [31] J.J. Spangler, A.K. Behera, "A comparison between hysteretic and
10 fixed frequency boost converters used for power factor correction," IEEE Appl. Power Electron. Conf., Rec., pp. 281-286, 1993.
- [32] W. Tang, F.C. Lee, R.B. Ridley, I. Cohen, "Charge control :
Modelling, analysis and design," IEEE Power Electron. Spec. Conf., Rec., pp.
15 503-511, 1992.
- [33] W. Tang, Y.M. Jiang, G.C. Hua, F.C. Lee, I. Cohen, "Power factor correction with flyback converter employing charge control," IEEE Appl. Power Electron. Conf., Rec., pp. 293-298, 1993.
- [34] H. Endo, T. Yamashita, T. Sugiura, "A high power factor buck
20 converter," IEEE Power Electron. Spec. Conf., Rec., pp. 1071-1076, 1992.
- [35] D. Maksimovic, R. Erickson, "Universal-input, high-power-factor, boost doubler rectifiers," IEEE Appl. Power Electron, Conf., Rec., pp. 459-465, 1995.
- [36] Bakari M.M. Mwinyiwiwa, P.M. Birks, Boon-Teck Ooi, "Delta-
25 modulated buck-type PWM converter," IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl. 28, pp. 552-557, 1992.
- [37] Boon-Teck Ooi, Bakari M.M. Mwinyiwiwa, X. Wang, G. Joos, "Operating limits of the current-regulated delta-modulated current-source PWM rectifier," IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl., 38, pp. 268-274, 1991.
- [38] P.C. Todd UC 3854, "Controlled power factor correction circuit
30 design," Unitrode-Product Applications Handbook, Lexington, MA, 1993-94.

- [39] Micro Linear Data Book, 1993
- [40] R. Oruganti and M. Palaniapan, "Inductor voltage controlled variable power factor buck – type AC–DC converter," Proceedings of Power Electronics Specialists Conference (PESC), pp. 230 – 237, 1996.
- 5 [41] R. Oruganti and Ramesh Srinivasan, "Single phase power factor correction – A review," Sadhana, vol. 22, part 6, pp. 753 –780, 1997.
- [42] F.C. Schwarz, "A time-domain analysis of the power factor for a rectifier filter system with over and sub-critical inductance," IEEE Tran. on Ind. Electron. Control Instrumentation, IECI-20(2), pp. 61-68, 1973.
- 10 [43] A.R. Prasad, P.D. Ziogas, S. Manias, "A novel passive waveshaping method for single phase diode rectifiers," IEEE Tran. Ind. Electron., IE-37, pp. 521 – 530, 1990.
- [44] I. Takahashi, R.Y. Igarashi, "A switching power supply of 99% power factor by the dither rectifier," IEEE International Telecommunication Energy
- 15 Conference Record, pp. 714-719, 1991.
- [45] M. Madigan, R. Ericson, E. Ismail, "Integrated high quality rectifier regulators," IEEE Power Electronic. Spec. Conference, pp. 1043 – 1051, 1992.
- [46] M.S. Elmore, W.A. Peterson, S.D. Sherwood, "A power factor enhancement circuit ," IEEE Applied Power Electron. Conf. Record, pp. 407 –
- 20 414, 1991.
- [47] Vivek Agarwal, V. P. Sundarsingh, Serge Bontemps and Denis Grafham, "A Smart Power Converter Module for Buck Applications Operating at High Input Power Factor," Proceedings of the 28th Annual Conference on Industrial Electronics, Control and Instrumentation (IECON), Sevilla, Spain, pp.
- 25 858-863, 2002.
- [48] Vivek Agarwal, V.P. Sundarsingh, Serge Bontemps, Alain Calmels and Denis Grafham, "Novel single-switch isolated 42V/1kW battery charger module uses converter operating at near-unity Power Factor," Proceedings of PCIM - 2003, Nuremberg, Germany, May 20-22, 2003.

[49] Yufu Wang, "Boost converter with lower inter-phase rectifier parallel compensating three-phase power factor correction," Chinese Patent Application No. 01140014.5, Nov. 20, 2001.

[50] L. Umanand and S.R. Bhat, "Design of magnetic components for switched mode power converters", First Edition, Willey Eastern Limited, 1992.

[51] Y.F. Zhang, L. Yang and C.Q. Lee, "EMI reduction of power supplies by Bi- Frequency modulation," Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition, pp. 601 – 607, 1994

The advantages of a high power factor and low harmonic distortion are well known. A major advantage is an optimum utilization of power coming out of the utility [1, 16 -18]. The past two decades have witnessed a tremendous research effort related to power factor correction in switching power supplies [1 - 49]. This could be attributed to the growing awareness about power quality and the seriousness with which the concerned agencies all over the world have started enforcing power quality standards.

For purposes of highlighting the novelty of the present invention, prior art schemes will be reviewed first.

PRIOR ART SCHEMES

The major issues [2-4] concerning power factor correction circuits are the size and the cost of the system, complexity of the circuit topology (e.g. single stage, dual stage, etc.) and its control (e.g. duty cycle control or variable frequency control or a combination of the two), output voltage regulation and its ripple content and the efficiency of the converter (single stage or multiple stage). In earlier days, the trend was to use a boost topology and to modulate its duty cycle, so as to present a resistive load to the line voltage. But any such scheme needs a second stage for isolation and load voltage control. A simple scheme based on a flyback converter operating in a discontinuous current mode (DCM) with constant duty cycle is reported by Erickson *et al* [5], with isolation incorporated in the first stage. A new power factor correction scheme for a single-phase buck-boost converter is proposed by Prasad *et al* [6]. Some of the single stage configurations

proposed are the isolated boost topology proposed by Yang *et al* [7], a fast-response topology utilizing the inherent characteristics of resonant boost stage by Kheraluwala *et al* [8] and the dual switch forward topology proposed by Daniele *et al* [9]. But these approaches all utilize at least two switches.

- 5 Redl *et al* [4] proposed a new family of power factor corrector circuits (S^2IP^2), which overcame most of the drawbacks of then-existing configurations. Merged configurations of derived boost and buck configurations have also been used for power factor correction (PFC) [10,11]. Other authors reported on related aspects including reduction of high-voltage stress on primary side devices [12]
- 10 and electromagnetic interference (EMI) in PFC circuits [13,14].

After this initial overview, the underlying ideas and classification of the various PFC techniques are presented next. More literature review is taken up subsequently.

15 **PFC Technique Attributes:**

A good PFC technique should provide the following features:

- (a) Harmonic free (sinusoidal) input line current with near unity power factor over a wide load variation
- (b) Good line and load regulation – with fast output dynamics
- 20 (c) Small size, low weight, low part count, economical
- (d) High power conversion efficiency
- (e) Low EMI

In addition to the above, the following may also be desirable depending on the specific application:

- 25 (a) Galvanic isolation between input and output.
- (b) Universal input AC voltage range (typically 85V to 270V root mean squared (RMS).)
- (c) Low output ripple
- (d) Wide range of output voltage, from very low DC output (e.g. 12V,
- 30 24V, etc.) to high voltage (e.g. 800V)

(e) Good hold-up time if required by the application (Hold-up time is a measure of how long a supply will hold output voltages to within specifications after input power has been lost. For example, a supply with sufficient hold-up time can keep providing power to the load during short power outages.)

5 **Classification of PFC Techniques [41]:**

The PFC techniques may be classified into the following categories:

(a) Passive PFC (PPFC)

(b) Active PFC (APFC)

(c) Combination of categories (a) and (b) (PPFC + APFC)

10

Passive Power Factor Correction (PPFC)

PPFC's make use of inductive filters and resonant filters (combination of L and C) [42, 43]. An exemplary PPFC circuit is shown in Fig. 2. These techniques do not make use of any additional active devices/circuitry for power factor improvement. Hence, these solutions are simple, reliable and cost-effective at low power levels. But they suffer from the following disadvantages:

15

(a) These techniques attempt to bring down the harmonic levels to within the limits set by the standards. They do not attempt to improve it beyond what is required by the standards. Thus they still do not facilitate an effective V-A utilization.

20

(b) PPFC does not allow wide input AC voltage variation (e.g. from 85V to 270V). Because PPFC uses only passive components therefore cannot maintain output regulation when the input fluctuates over a wide range.

To accommodate a wide range of voltage variation one must use active switches and duty cycle control. For a duty cycle controlled system, for example, a duty cycle ratio range of 0.1 to 1.0 is normal. To allow a wider variation, this range could be widened to 0.01 to 1. However, this will require very high t_r and t_f times of the switching devices.

25

(c) At higher power levels the reactive elements of a PPFC tend to be large and bulky and are no longer cost effective.

30

(d) At higher power levels, the power factor does not remain within the specified limits over a wide variation of power levels.

Active Power Factor Correction (APFC)

5 In contrast to the PPFC techniques, the APFC techniques [6, 26-37, 40] make use of additional devices/control circuitry to improve the power factor and harmonic profile. Therefore, these are expensive techniques as compared to PPFCs. Nevertheless, their overall performance is far superior. The conventional APFCs are, in general, single-stage configurations based on buck, boost, flyback
10 (see Fig. 3), forward or modified topology, employing one or more switches. The boost and flyback topologies are operated in continuous current mode (CCM) or discontinuous current mode DCM [7, 27, 33]. It should be noted that:

(a) Flyback configuration - Control chips are available to implement this kind of configuration. The major disadvantage is high peak currents.

15 (b) Forward mode – with CCM, the transformer has to operate at the principal frequency (50Hz, 60Hz, 400 Hz or other commonly used AC frequencies), thereby increasing the size of the transformer. Thus, DCM operation commends itself by permitting the use of smaller transformers. But it has the disadvantage of higher peak currents.

20 In APFCs, the input line current is controlled by various techniques such as peak current mode control, average current mode control [12, 26], charge control [17, 18, 32, 33], hysteresis current mode control [15, 16, 30, 31], sinusoidal pulse width modulation (PWM) [14, 28], delta modulation control [21, 22, 36, 37], inductor voltage control [23, 40], etc. In fact, many of these control
25 schemes are available as ICs.

APFC could be both current source type (usually buck type) [36, 37] or voltage source type [1, 2, 26]. The voltage source type, which is more popular, may use buck, boost, buck-boost, cuk or derived topology. The following points must be noted regarding these configurations:

30 (a) A boost configuration, operating in CCM, is suitable for medium to high power level applications because the boost inductor results in low input

supply ripple and hence the filter requirements are reduced. But this configuration suffers from the demerits of high reverse recovery loss, charge pumping loss, poor EMI performance and to some extent even cusp distortion near the zero crossings of the input current. Some of these problems may be alleviated using soft-switching techniques and resonant power conversion techniques.

(b) The problem of reverse recovery of output diode may be eliminated by operating the boost configuration at the CCM-DCM boundary.

(c) A boost converter operating in DCM does not give a sinusoidal input current unless the duty cycle of the switching device is varied continuously. Also, the peak current stresses on the devices are greater.

(d) The use of flyback (buck-boost) topology can be attractive at lower power levels. It offers several advantages. For example, the start-up in-rush current problem is not present, overload protection may be easily implemented, the output voltage may be greater or less than the peak input voltage and galvanic isolation is possible. Both CCM and DCM modes of operation are possible, but result in more noise. The diode reverse recovery problem is eliminated when operating this topology in the DCM mode.

The existing APFC schemes suffer from one or more of the following disadvantages:

(a) Suffer from all the drawbacks of DCM operation.

(b) In many cases, the voltage across the bulk energy storage capacitor is uncontrolled and can reach high values. A higher rated capacitor will result in increased cost and greater power loss due to larger ESR values.

(c) The frequency varies in many cases over a wide range (typically 8 times), making the EMI filter design difficult.

(d) Increased stress on devices.

(e) Efficiency of power conversion is generally low.

(f) Complex control.

(g) Large filter capacitor to filter second harmonic components.

(h) Slow output dynamics.

Some of the drawbacks mentioned above (e.g. slow output dynamics, complex control may be overcome by using modified configurations such as a cascaded configuration [1] comprising two stages with independent control. The first stage corrects the power factor while the second stage provides tight regulation of output voltage against fast, dynamic load. The disadvantage with this scheme is lower efficiency because of two stages. This disadvantage is overcome to some extent by merging the two stages of a cascade configuration into one power stage [44, 45]. This increases the efficiency but the control becomes complex. Many power factor corrected circuits belong to this category.

PPFC + APFC:

A combination of APFC and PPFC can result in improved efficiency and reduced size and cost [41, 46]. An example of this scheme is where the active power factor correction circuit operates only during some portion of the input AC waveform, while in the remaining portion, a passive network (PPFC), connected in parallel with the APFC circuit, takes over.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention employs a single-stage, single-switch, input-output isolated converter configuration using a hybrid combination of forward and flyback converter topology. It uses a novel control scheme based on duty cycle control in conjunction with two discrete operating frequencies. A continuously varying operating frequency is not required, reducing the complexity of the control circuit. It results in reduced peak current stress on the circuit components leading to higher circuit reliability. Since the converter operation switches between two operating frequencies, its noise spectrum spreads out [51] making it more electromagnetic compatible (EMC). The cost is substantially lower than prior art multiple-stage and multiple-switch APFC designs and operates with high power factor and a well-regulated DC output voltage. This power factor correction circuit apparatus and method is especially suited for 'buck' applications where low DC output voltages (e.g. 24V, 48V) are needed. One particular embodiment of

the invention is in the form of a fully self-contained power converter module. The proposed configuration can be further integrated to reduce system size and will be of especial interest to industries associated with battery charging and uninterruptible power supply (UPS) systems.

5

DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figs. 1A and 1B respectively illustrate a circuit topology and corresponding voltage and current waveforms representing a conventional AC-DC power conversion scheme.

10 Fig. 2 is a circuit diagram illustrating a conventional passive power factor correction (PPFC) scheme. L and C are the filter elements for THD reduction.

Fig. 3 is a circuit diagram illustrating a conventional active power factor correction (APFC) scheme.

15 Fig. 4 is a circuit diagram illustrating the basic hybrid topology wherein the proposed control scheme has been implemented and is found to give excellent results. The output rectifier diodes are connected in a special, novel configuration.

Fig. 5 is a waveform diagram illustrating the novel control strategy embodied in the circuit topology of Fig. 4 showing control phases “1” and “2”. “PCOP” denotes the phase change over point while “FCOP” denotes the frequency
20 change over point which is suitably varied to achieve a good power factor. For illustration, these points are marked on one of four change over points within an AC cycle.

Fig. 6 is a waveform diagram showing the input AC voltage and control pulses to the switch M near the crossover points, in accordance with the novel
25 control strategy of Fig. 5.

Fig. 7 is a schematic block diagram implementing the novel control strategy of Fig. 5.

Fig. 8 is a detailed schematic diagram implementing the novel control strategy corresponding to Fig. 7.

Fig. 9 is a waveform diagram contrasting the input current versus time of a conventional flyback converter (upper trace) and the present invention using the novel control strategy (bottom trace).

5 Fig. 10 is a top plane view of (a) the power substrate illustrating major component layout on the power stage and (b) the PCB control stage layout in accordance with one embodiment of the power module invention of the power substrate.

Fig. 11 is a module power part schematic/physical layout diagram depicted in Fig. 1

10 Fig. 12 is a cross-sectional side elevation view of the invented power module depicted in Fig. 10.

Fig. 13 is the pin out schematic for the invented power module depicted in Fig. 10.

15 Fig. 14 is an oscilloscope trace illustrating the rectified input AC voltage and the gating pulses in accordance with the invention.

Fig. 15 is another oscilloscope trace illustrating line current and line voltage in accordance with the invention.

Fig. 16 is a PSPICE simulation graph showing simulated line current, scaled input AC voltage and output voltage in accordance with the above described power converter design, for comparison with the experimental results illustrated in Figs. 14 and 15.

Fig. 17 is a diagram of primary side current waveforms corresponding to the maximum load condition for $d_1 < d_2 < d_3 < \dots$

25 Figs. 18 and 19 are detailed schematics of the analog and digital parts, respectively, of a working prototype of the control circuit of Fig. 8.

Fig. 20 is a diagram showing relative positions of PCOP and FCOP in the rising portion of an AC cycle.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The Problems To Be Solved by the Invention:

As is clear from the brief review presented in the preceding sections, the existing schemes suffer from one or more of the following drawbacks:

- 5 (a) The size, weight and volume of the system is great.
- (b) The cost of the system is high.
- (c) The circuit topology (e.g. single stage, two stage etc.) is complex.
- (d) The control strategy is complex.
- (e) Output voltage regulation within the specified range is not possible.
- 10 Further, buck applications requiring 12V, 24V and 48V supplies are generally not possible.
- (f) The output ripple content is high.
- (g) The peak current stress is great on the devices and the transformer.
- (h) The efficiency of the converter is low.

15

Objectives:

Both Single Stage and Multiple stage converters (employing one or more switches) have been extensively used as power factor correction stages in all sorts of systems. The present invention is based on the following objectives:

- 20 (a) To provide a single-stage, single-switch power factor corrected circuit (power stage) to meet low DC voltage (12V, 24V, 48V etc.) application requirements in the industry.
- (b) To provide a new control strategy that is simple to implement but has all of the desirable features.
- 25 (c) To integrate (a) and (b) into a compact module to enhance reliability and compactness for industrial applications.

These three objectives will be discussed further below:

30 The Power Stage :

Fig. 4 shows the single-switch, single-stage hybrid topology used as the power stage [47]. This configuration is proposed to reduce the size of the

transformer, as a major advantage. When this circuit is operated in conjunction with the proposed control scheme, peak currents are substantially reduced. It should be noted that the output rectifier diodes in Fig. 4 are connected in a special, novel configuration. It is novel because the secondary rectifiers are not connected in the manner of a traditional bridge rectifier. It is connected to facilitate the combination of flyback and forward mode of operation.

Proposed Control Strategy:

According to the proposed control strategy depicted in Fig. 5, when the rectified input voltage, V_i , is less than the reflected output voltage V_o' (as in Phase 1), the device is made to operate at 50% duty cycle at full load and at a fixed frequency f_1 . Phase 2 corresponds to the region where the rectified input voltage V_i is higher than V_o' . The point where phase 1 changes to phase 2, is called the phase change over point (PCOP). During phase 2, the duty cycle is continuously modulated in a sine-weighted manner. At some suitable point beyond PCOP, the switching frequency is changed from f_1 to f_2 . This point is called the frequency change over point (FCOP) as shown in Figs. 5 and 20. FCOP is suitably adjusted (and hence considered a 'variable') to achieve good power factor. It should be noted that only two discrete values of operating frequency are used. A continuous frequency control (which is more complex) is not used here. The output voltage regulation is achieved by using a PWM technique.

Fig. 6 shows typical gating waveforms to implement this control scheme.

Phase 1: When $V_i < V_o'$ (reflected output voltage)

(a) Operates in flyback mode only with a constant duty cycle corresponding to a given load condition at a first fixed frequency. As the load increases, the duty cycle increases and vice versa, to maintain the output voltage constant. A 50% maximum duty cycle is contemplated to ensure that magnetic core flux resets.

(b) Since V_i during the period is low, peak currents are not of a major concern since low power corresponding to the sine current is transferred.

Phase 2: When $V_i > V_o'$

(a) Operates in both flyback mode and voltage (or forward conversion) mode since diode D_5 (see Fig. 4) conducts when switch M is ON.

(b) Here, during the peak of the input sine voltage, the power transferred to the output is divided into two equal parts, one part by flyback and the other, by forward converter.

(c) In order to achieve this, the ON duration of the switch M is reduced (otherwise all the power will come from flyback mode) by doubling the frequency, hence, reducing the peak current. The instant at which the frequency is doubled (FCOP) is selected to achieve good power factor.

Integration into a compact intelligent module:

The power stage and the control stage have been integrated into a single compact, module. This results in higher reliability. The built-in intelligence (control aspects) results in a versatile, “smart” power module increasing the scope of its applications manifold. The details of the design and fabrication of this module are presented in later sections.

To summarize, the present invention provides a novel scheme based on duty cycle control in conjunction with two discrete switching frequencies. The scheme is depicted in Figs. 5 to 8. It should be noted that a continuous, variable frequency control is not employed here – rather, the system is made to operate with two different switching frequencies. This control scheme is an improvement over an earlier work [15], which used a single, constant frequency and two fixed duty cycles, depending on the comparison of the rectified input voltage and the reflected output voltage. The proposed invention results in a drastic reduction of input harmonics and peak current stresses on the devices and is specifically suited for ‘buck’ applications, e.g. applications featuring low DC output voltage (e.g. 24V, 48V). For example, this configuration will be of interest to industries associated with battery charging and UPS design and fabrication work.

An added advantage of this scheme is the reduction of EMI of the system due to bi-frequency modulation [51]. Since the converter operation switches back and forth between two operating frequencies (f_1 and f_2), the frequency spectrum

will spread out showing more frequency components, but with smaller amplitudes. In comparison, if the converter is operated only at one fixed operating frequency, its spectrum will be narrow but the amplitudes will be higher, increasing the EMI magnitude and reducing the systems electromagnetic compatibility (EMC).

5 In view of the anticipated wide application of the proposed configuration, attempts have been made to realize a smart module which integrates the power stage with the control stage. This makes the system compact and enhances the reliability and efficiency of the system as compared to the corresponding discrete system.

10

Analytical concepts relevant to the proposed invention

The Smart Converter System is implemented using the configuration shown in Fig. 4 and works as follows: The input sine wave V_{ac} is rectified using diodes D_1 - D_4 . Hence V_i , is a full wave rectified sine waveform. M is a power semiconductor (MOSFET in this case, a fast IGBT may also be used) switch and X_1 is a ferrite step-down transformer. It should be obvious to those skilled in the art that the functions provided by diodes $D_5 - D_8$ can suitably be accomplished through the use of lower loss Schottky barrier diodes or synchronous rectifiers (such as low loss MOSFETs). To achieve the required output voltage, the transformer can be excited in three different modes.

20

Voltage or Forward Mode

Here X_1 is used as a pure transformer having a large magnetizing inductance. Considering one switching cycle and assuming that the magnetizing flux is zero at the beginning of the cycle ($t = t_1$), when switch M is turned ON, $V_m \sin \omega t_1$ is applied to the primary of the transformer. Hence, the secondary voltage, V_s is given by:

25

$$V_s = \left(\frac{N_2}{N_1} \right) V_m \sin \omega t_1 \quad (1)$$

Those of skill in the art will appreciate that D_5 and D_6 conduct current to charge the capacitor C_o through inductor L. When switch M is off, diodes D_7 and

30

D_8 conduct current to release the magnetizing energy into capacitor C_o . Since, at the end of this cycle, the magnetizing flux must reach zero, the maximum duty cycle can only be 50%, where t_1 corresponds to the time at which the reflected voltage just equals the input voltage. If the magnetic flux does not reach zero in these designs, the size of the core and hence of the transformer will need to be increased to accommodate the energy transfer. Further, if uniform duty cycle is maintained throughout the cycle, then I_{in} will be sine- weighted. Hence, the switch has to handle a maximum current of four times the peak input sine current in the case of a discontinuous mode for I_L . Similarly, diodes D_5 and D_6 must handle four times the peak current of I_{in} . It is preferable to operate the system in a discontinuous mode for realizing zero current turn-ON for switch M and to reduce the size of inductor L.

Fly-Back mode or Current Mode

In this mode diodes D_5 , D_6 and inductor L are not necessary and diode D_8 is forward biased. Further, transformer X_1 has a finite inductance in the N_1 winding so that the required energy can be transferred to the load. Under these conditions, when the switch is ON, primary current I_M ramps up from zero current (zero-magnetizing flux), at the beginning of the cycle. When the switch is OFF, diode D_7 conducts current to transfer the energy to the load. Again, if the duty cycle is uniform throughout the cycle, then input current I_{in} becomes sine-weighted. Again, for operation in the discontinuous mode, the maximum ON current I_M of the switch M, and the current through the diode D_7 and the secondary of the transformer, are four times their respective peak sine currents when operating at 50% duty cycle at full load. Obviously the peak will even be higher if operated below 50% duty cycle to deliver the same output power.

Combination Mode

In the earlier two cases, the transformer, diodes and switches have to be rated for a higher RMS current rating because of the high peak current requirement. By operating the system in a mixed mode, the root mean squared

(RMS) current rating of the diodes and the transformer, X_1 , can be reduced. In this case, when $V_m \sin \omega t$ is less than $(N_1/N_2)V_o$ (neglecting diode drops) the system is operated only in a flyback mode and when $V_m \sin \omega t$ is $> (N_1/N_2) V_o$ (neglecting diode drops) the system is operated in the voltage mode and flyback mode. If we assume that half the energy requirement during this period is supplied by voltage mode and the other half by the flyback mode, then the peak current will become half for the diodes and the transformer resulting in a reduction of RMS rating of these devices.

The related theory is as follows:

10 Let the input voltage (V_{ac}) = $V_m \sin \omega t$ (2)

Required Current = $i_m \sin \omega t$ at full load (3)

At $t = t_1$, let $V_m \sin \omega t_1 = \frac{N_1}{N_2} V_o$ (4)

wherein the diode drops have been neglected.

15 The required current at $t = t_1$ is $I_m \sin \omega t_1$. Let the switching frequency be high so that the variation in $V_m \sin \omega t$ during the switching period can be neglected. Let the duty cycle be 50% at full load and let transformer X_1 and inductor L operate in the discontinuous mode. Let L_1 be the primary inductance of transformer X_1 and T_1 be the period of the switching frequency.

Then, $i_{L1} (T_1/2) = \frac{V_m \sin \omega t_1}{L_1} \times \frac{T_1}{2}$ (5)

20 (assuming linear ramping)

$$I_{L1(\text{average})} = \frac{V_m \sin \omega t_1 \times T_1}{8L_1} \quad (6)$$

If the input sine waveform has to be followed, then

$$i_m \sin \omega t_1 = \frac{V_m \sin \omega t_1 \times T_1}{8L_1} \quad (7)$$

Eqn. (7) fixes the value of L_1 .

25 For $t > t_1$ when M is ON, energy is transferred both to L_1 and L . Let L' be the secondary inductance referred to the primary side. Assuming that the required

energy transfer is equally shared between L' and L_1 at the peak of the input waveform, then at $\omega t = \pi/2$

$$i_m = \frac{V_m \times T_2}{8L_1} + \frac{\left(V_m - \frac{N_1}{N_2} V_0\right)}{8L'} \times T_2 \quad (8)$$

wherein T_2 is the new switching period. From (7), the required current is obtained

5 as:

$$i_m = \frac{V_m T_1}{8L_1} \quad (9)$$

Note that in the above equations, period T_1 corresponds to frequency f_1 and period T_2 corresponds to frequency f_2 .

If Eqns. (8) and (9) are compared, then for equal sharing of energy
10 between L' and L_1 , the following conditions must be satisfied.

$$T_2 = \frac{T_1}{2} \text{ as switching frequency must be doubled at } t > t_1$$

$$\frac{\left(V_m - \frac{N_1}{N_2} V_0\right)}{8L'} = \frac{V_m}{8L_1}$$

$$L_1 \left(V_m - \frac{N_1}{N_2} V_0\right) = L' V_m$$

15

$$L' = L_1 \left(1 - \frac{N_1}{N_2} \frac{V_0}{V_m}\right) \quad (10)$$

The value of L' and hence L is fixed by Eqn. (10). Under this condition the peak current requirement of the secondary and D₅-D₈ are reduced to half the
20 value as compared to the voltage or current mode. Now, consider the duration between t_1 to $T_2/2$. During this interval, Eqn. (8) may be written as:

$$i_m \sin \omega t = \frac{V_m T_2}{8} \left(\frac{1}{L_1} + \frac{1}{L'}\right) \sin \omega t - \frac{N_1/N_2}{8L'} V_0 T_2 \quad (11)$$

Let
$$\frac{1}{L_1} + \frac{1}{L'} = \frac{1}{L_2} \quad (12)$$

Then Eqn. (11) reduces to

$$i_m \sin \omega t = \frac{V_m T_2}{8L_2} \sin \omega t - \frac{N_1/N_2}{8L'} V_0 T_2 \quad (13)$$

But the required current at $t = t_1$ from (7), is

5
$$i_m \sin \omega t_1 = \frac{V_m \sin \omega t_1 \times T_1}{8L_1} \quad (14)$$

Resolving Eqns. (13) and (14);

$$T_2 = \frac{T_1}{\frac{L_1}{L_2} - \left(\frac{L_1}{L'}\right) \times \left(\frac{N_1}{N_2}\right) \times \left(\frac{V_0}{V_m \sin \omega t}\right)} \quad (15)$$

As per Figs. 5, 6 and 7, when the rectified input voltage is less than the reflected
 10 output voltage V_o' (as in phase 1), the device is made to operate at 50% duty cycle
 at maximum load and a fixed frequency f_1 . When the input voltage is higher than
 V_o' (phase 2), the duty cycle is continuously modulated in a sine-weighted manner
 and the frequency of operation switches to f_2 at a time instant denoted by FCOP.
 The point where the first phase changes over to the second phase is called the
 15 phase changeover point (PCOP) as mentioned before. During the first phase of
 operation, the switching frequency is the first fixed frequency f_1 and during the
 second phase of operation, the switching frequency is the second fixed frequency
 f_2 and the changeover from the first frequency to the second frequency can be
 anywhere above the phase changeover point to realize good input current
 20 waveforms. FCOP may be selected using computer simulation. It is typically
 20% higher than the PCOP point and is governed by the requirement that the
 primary peak current at any point is less than the peak current achieved at the peak
 of the input AC voltage. Once FCOP is fixed, the EPROM can be accordingly
 programmed with appropriate data. Using the theory presented in this section, a
 25 1kW, 48V DC output voltage converter was designed and simulated using

PSPICE software. For completeness of the disclosure, and for comparison purposes between the design and the implementation in accordance with the invention, the line voltage, line current and the output voltage in accordance with the described simulation are shown in Fig. 16. The

- 5 line current and voltage show a slight phase difference because a filter was used to clean up the current waveform of high-frequency components.

Hardware Implementation and the Smart Power Module

- 10 The combination mode discussed in the previous section and simulated using PSPICE, has been implemented and tested using a laboratory prototype of the designed rating.

- The block diagram showing the control stage 100 is shown in Fig. 7. Fig. 8 shows the hardware details of an example of the control circuit without separate FCOP and PCOP implemented. Alternatively, FCOP and PCOP can be implemented at separate time intervals as described above and shown in Figs. 15 5 and 20. Figs. 18 and 19 are detailed schematics of the analog and digital parts, respectively, of a working prototype of the control circuit of Fig. 8. The components in Figs. 18 and 19 are identified in Tables 2 and 3.

- 20 In Fig. 8, V_E , the output of the comparator, is proportional to the error in the desired output DC voltage V_o . I_R is a current proportional to reference voltage V_{REF} during Phase 1 and V_R during Phase 2. V_{DR} is a reference voltage to operate the digital- to-analog converter (DAC). For the case where $t > t_1$ the ON time of M_1 is given by,

$$T_{ON} = \frac{C}{2} \frac{V_E}{I_R} \quad (16)$$

- 25 At the end of the ON time, output of switch M_1 goes to zero. M_2 is a free running clock, generating a frequency of f when capacitor C is selected and doubling the frequency when $C/2$ is selected. Clock M_2 triggers switch M_1 at every clock period and the ON duration (T_{ON}) is determined by switch M_1 . The OFF time of switch M_1 should not be less than $T/2$, where T is the period of the switching frequency. The expression for T_{ON} can also be written as:
- 30

$$T_{ON} = \frac{R_R \frac{C}{2} V_E}{V_R} \quad (17)$$

Eqn. (17) is obtained by replacing I_R with V_R/R_R (during Phase 2) in Eqn. (16)

Comparing Eqns. (15) and (17) and since $T_2 = 2T_{ON}$ at the peak of the sine waveform,

5

$$R_R C \frac{V_E}{V_R} = \frac{T_2}{L_1/L_2 - (L_1/L') \times (N_1/N_2) \times \left(\frac{V_o}{V_m \sin \alpha t} \right)} \quad (18)$$

In Eqn. (18), if $R_R C = T_2$, then using Eqns. (10) and (12)

$$\frac{V_E}{V_R} = 1/2 \quad (19)$$

Thus, if V_R is generated from an EPROM based voltage source where the
10 locations are loaded corresponding to the denominator of Eqn. (18), the input current will follow the line voltage form.

From Fig. 8, those of skill in the art will appreciate that CNTR is a counter while DAC is the digital to analog converter. I_R is a voltage (V_R) controlled current source and the clock is an IC-555. When $V_m \sin \omega t < (N_1/N_2)V_o$, then M_2
15 and M_3 are ON and control phase 1 is in operation. Otherwise, M_1 and M_4 are ON and control phase 2 is in operation.

V_E controls the pulse width whether in Phase 1 or Phase 2 and kV_o is compared with V_{REF} (which is a constant DC reference voltage) only, in both Phases to generate V_E . During Phase 1, V_{REF} itself is used to generate I_R , but in
20 Phase 2, I_R is controlled by V_R , generated by the EPROM data. V_R has a waveform determined by the data stored in Table 1. This controls I_R accordingly and hence the pulse width through V_E at pin 5. The V_R data stored in the EPROM as given in Table 1 has a shape paralleling the shape of the instantaneous AC input but not the exact value of the AC input to control the pulse width so the
25 average of the primary current will be "sine-weighted" and have "the same nature"

as the AC input voltage waveform. The value of k is fixed, which is set by the user at the beginning of his experiment or application. The value of kV_o varies as V_o varies with load. It is compared with V_{REF} , where "k" is used to bring the actual V_o value within a "proper range" for comparison and to make the module
5 universal

It is necessary to emphasize that the stored EPROM data does not represent the instantaneous values of the input AC waveform itself. Rather, it represents a sine-weighted string of duty cycles that the MOSFET should operate with at various time instances of the input AC waveform, so that a sinusoidal
10 current is drawn from the input AC supply. Further, EPROM data based duty cycle modulation is made effective only during phase 2.

The sample EPROM data shown in Table 1, for the case considered, is loaded as per the following details:

- 15 Locations 0-31: Load C4H
Locations 32-157: Load Hexadecimal numbers corresponding to

$$\sqrt{\left\{2.83 - \frac{1.44}{\sin(45 + n \times 0.72)}\right\}} \quad \text{for } n = 1 \text{ to } 125$$

Locations 158 – 256: Load C4H

- 20 This EPROM data, in conjunction with the output voltage feedback, ensures that the duty cycle variation of the MOSFET follows the same nature as the input AC waveform (i.e. it is sine-weighted), even as it responds to load variations during phase 2. This can be further understood with reference to Figs. 7 and 8.

- The overall control strategy may be described as follows: The output
25 voltage, V_o , is compared with a reference voltage and the error is fed into a proportional controller. During phase 1, the control signal from the proportional controller varies the duty cycle of the monostable multivibrator feeding the gate trigger circuit of the MOSFET so as to maintain a constant output voltage under varying loads. If the load is not varying, a fixed duty cycle corresponding to the
30 given load is maintained. During Phase 2, in addition to the output voltage regulation described in the preceding sentences, EPROM data is used (through a

digital to analog converter (DAC - refer to Fig. 8) to modulate the monostable multivibrator output in a sine-weighted manner in order to draw sinusoidal input current from the AC supply. For example, 'FF' in Table I corresponds to 50% duty cycle at full load and at the peak of the AC voltage. For lesser loads, the
5 duty cycle will be less as decided by the output of the proportional controller and accordingly the duty cycle at other instants of the AC waveform are automatically scaled so as to preserve their sine-weighted nature.

Translation into a Smart Power Module

10 An Application-Specific Power Module (ASPM) dedicated to the proposed configuration was implemented. This smart power module integrates the input diode bridge rectifier, the power switch and the high frequency output rectifier bridge with associated drivers and control functions. The ASPM solution brings a high level of integration, allows minimum number of external connections to offer
15 minimum size and weight solution. Very short internal connections minimize parasitic resistance and inductance. This permits high-frequency operation with reduced voltage overshoots facilitating EMI and RFI filtering and improving overall efficiency.

20 Integration of Power and Control Stages

The components dissipating more than 1W are mounted onto a power substrate. The power stage in Fig. 10 (a) shows the power substrate component footprint with the rectifiers located to the left and top right, the power switch at the center, and the shunt resistor to the lower right. It is clear to those skilled in
25 the art that various component arrangements are possible, so long as the heat dissipation is balanced. This should be viewed with reference to Fig. 11, which shows the electrical diagram of the power components corresponding to Fig. 4. The substrate simultaneously presents a 2500VRMS isolation barrier to the heat-sink and provides optimum conduction of internally generated heat from losses in
30 the components to the outside world. Lowest cost is achieved at 2.5kV isolation with an Insulated Metal Substrate (IMS), other insulative thermal conducting

materials such as directly bonded copper (DBC) on BeO, Alumina, or AlN may also be used. This type of substrate consists of three layers of different materials bonded together, a metal base plate of aluminum, steel or copper with thickness varying from 0.8 to 3mm, an insulator layer 80 microns thick for 2.5kV isolation, and a copper layer from 35 to 200 microns thick. Power semiconductors, in chip form, are then directly soldered onto the etched copper circuit patterns on the IMS for best heat conduction. “Backsides” (the soldered downsides) of the power semiconductor chips are drains for the MOSFETs and cathodes for the diodes. Topside connections are made with aluminum wires, bonded between the chips and the copper patterns to complete the circuit. Multiple wires are featured, so as to distribute current uniformly from the top surface of each chip, through the depth of the semiconductor material, then to the backside IMS heat spreader. Fig. 10 (b) shows the PCB layout of the control stage. Extensive usage of SMD devices helps to conserve space. This control board is fastened physically at a level above the power stage as shown in the cross-sectional view of the power module in Fig. 12.

In power applications, reliability considerations dictate that the preferred practice is to employ a small number of large chips, rather than a large number of small chips, in parallel.

For MOSFET switch M_1 a die size up to 9 x 13mm, featuring 500V/0.75 milli-Ohm characteristics, (e.g. APT50M75DLL), is used to cover the module full output power range. If fast IGBTs are used, a chip half the size of the above MOSFET can be used to handle the same current. It also makes eminent sense to incorporate the shunt resistor (200) indicated in Figs. 4, 7, and 11 of the drawings. This shunt device available with backside metallization is soldered to the power substrate and four-wire connections are made with aluminum wire bonding, similar to the power dies. This arrangement provides excellent power management and optimum voltage feedback. The shunt resistor develops a voltage proportional to the load current, which is used for over-current protection/current limiting in the power module.

Operating temperature is a key parameter for gauging the expected mean time between failure (MTBF) of electronic equipments and this is especially critical for power semiconductors. Although careful engineering design will ensure that device temperatures under normal operating conditions are
5 comfortably below danger levels, an accidental rise in either ambient or heat sink temperature could precipitate rapid destruction of the system.

A miniature NTC (negative temperature coefficient) thermistor (R1 in Fig. 10) placed close to the power semiconductors either feeds back heat-sink temperature to the control system, or activates an internal protection scheme,
10 depending on the particular design. All components dissipating less than 1 W are mounted onto a printed circuit board (PCB), which itself is housed in the module body. This PCB incorporates driver, protection and control functions, implemented in SMD technology, as well as components dedicated to the galvanic isolation interface.

15 Fig.12 is the cross-sectional view of the module. The various building blocks are as follows:

Module base plate (e.g. IMS substrate) 1.

Silicon chips and other power components 2, soldered to the top surface of the substrate with electrical connections made via ultrasonically bonded aluminum
20 wires.

Molded outer wall 3.

Silicone gel conformal coating 4 over substrate assembly.

Resin top layer 5 to fill the cavity.

Internal PCB 6, with all necessary control and protection functions: hybrid
25 SMD/chip construction extensively used.

1 x 1.5 solderable power connectors 7.

Small signal connectors 8. These connectors are available to the user for control circuit inputs (e.g. low voltage power supply points, DC output voltage feedback signal etc.). The choice of components, the layout and method of
30 assembling the smart module serves to illustrate the principles of the invention. It will be obvious to one skill in the art to change the components from the above list

to effect desirable results without deviating from principles of the invention. For example, the IMS can be replaced by DBC on BeO, Alumina or AlN. The base plate can have different shapes and sizes, different monitoring, protection, and control functions can be incorporated in the control PCB, different sized
5 connectors or components, etc. can be flexibly adapted to effect the desired power rating of module design.

Fig. 13 is the top view of the module showing the pin-out. For easy access, connecting posts are placed at the periphery of the module block.

10 **System Assembly**

The assembly of a complete converter is greatly facilitated by using power module building blocks. Being galvanically isolated from the electric circuit, the module IMS base plate with a 60 x 108mm footprint can be bolted to a grounded heat sink. An external board with transformer, inductor, filter capacitors and other
15 auxiliary power supply functions completes the package. Labor costs are kept low by extending the building block concept to all elements. The external board is attached to the module with solderable power terminals. Pins measuring 1 x 1.5 mm are used; two pins are connected in parallel for high current outputs. Small signal liaisons are by means of feed-through connectors. No wire links are
20 needed, so transient generating parasitics are controlled, from the control board to the power module. As a direct consequence of this rigorous approach to construction and final assembly, converter performance is both predictably high and uniform. Reproducibility is excellent over very long production runs.

25 **Experimental Results**

A laboratory prototype was fabricated to test the proposed configuration and the control scheme discussed in the previous sections. It was tested at a power level of up to 350W. Fig. 14, which shows the gating waveforms applied to the main power device, is representative of the manner in which the frequency and
30 duty cycle control is varied over the rectified input AC voltage cycle, operated at two fixed frequencies depending on the relative magnitude of the instantaneous

input voltage and the reflected DC output voltage. The wattage of the prototype at 350W is due to the availability of piece parts at the time and the time constraint under which to produce a working model to demonstrate the principles of the invention and not a real limitation of the invention itself.

5 Fig. 15 shows the line current waveform along with the line voltage. As can be seen, the line current has negligible harmonic distortion.

 Fig. 15 illustrates Line current (curve (1), y-axis: 1 div. = 2.3 A, including the transducer gain factor) and Scaled down line voltage (curve (2), y-axis: 1 div. = 5V) of the prototype module. Those of skill in the art will appreciate how well
10 the invention in its actual preferred embodiment performs in accordance with the PSPICE design simulation (although the simulation is for 1kW, the waveforms nonetheless behave essentially the same), results of which are shown in Fig. 16, as described in conjunction with the drawings.

15 **Summary of the Basic Concept**

 A state-of-the-art smart power module has been developed based on a new power converter configuration. The proposed single stage, single switch converter employs a simple control scheme to provide a regulated DC output voltage and operates at high input power factor. A THD of less than 5% as stipulated by
20 regulatory agencies is easily achieved with the proposed control using duty cycle control featuring two discrete operating frequencies. As per Figs. 5 and 6, when the rectified input voltage is less than the reflected output voltage (as in phase 1), the duty cycle is maintained close to 50% at full load and less than 50% duty cycle for lighter loads while the operating frequency is held constant at f_1 . When the
25 rectified input voltage becomes larger than the reflected output voltage (as in phase2), and the operating frequency is changed to another constant value (f_2) at a suitable point (FCOP). This provides a significant improvement in the harmonic distortion of the line current. Further, the peak current stress on the devices is reduced with the proposed dual frequency scheme.

30 All the details of the design and fabrication of the smart power module have been presented. The module is specifically suitable for low DC voltage

(buck) applications. Using the theory presented, a 1kW, 48V DC output voltage converter was designed and simulated using PSPICE software.

Those of skill in the art will appreciate that other suitable circuit topologies in keeping with the teachings herein are contemplated as being within the spirit and scope of the invention. Those of skill also will appreciate that certain circuit and material details are illustrative and may be changed without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. Thus the following further detailed discussion of certain design particulars is intended to elucidate one embodiment of the invention and not to represent a limitation placed on the broad scope of the invention.

Design of the Magnetics [50]:

a. Transformer: (Area-Product approach has been used for the design)

The Area Product (A_p) expression for a flyback converter, operating in complete energy transfer mode, has been used in the design as it is considered to adequately represent the hybrid topology proposed in the invention. The relation is given by:

$$A_p = A_c \times A_w = \frac{P_o \left[\frac{1}{\eta} \sqrt{\frac{4D}{3}} + \sqrt{\frac{4(1-D)}{3}} \right]}{K_w \times J \times B_m \times f_s} \quad (20)$$

wherein A_c is the core cross-sectional area, A_w is the core's window area, P_o is the output power (500 W over-design used in illustrating the invention), D is the duty cycle (maximum allowed =50% in accordance with one embodiment of the invention), η is the transformer efficiency (assume 90%), K_w (typ. value: 0.4) is the window utilization factor, J (typ. value: $3 \times 10^6 \text{ A/m}^2$) is the current density, B_m (typ. value 0.2T for ferrite core) is the maximum flux density and f_s (25 kHz in one embodiment) is the switching frequency.

$$\text{Thus: } A_p = \frac{500 \times \left[\frac{1}{0.9} \sqrt{\frac{4 \times 0.5}{3}} + \sqrt{\frac{4 \times (1-0.5)}{3}} \right]}{(0.4) \times (3 \times 10^6) \times (0.2) \times (25 \times 10^3)} = 144 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^4 \quad (21)$$

The standard tables for the CEL HP₃C grade EE cores are used to determine the standard, available core, that will provide the required A_p calculated above. It was observed that the largest standard core available is E65/32/13 with
 5 $A_p = 143 \times 10^{-9} m^4$ which is inadequate for our purposes. Hence, it was initially thought to combine two pairs of E42/21/20 (tightly couple them to reduce the resulting air-gaps) to realize a single, bigger pair. From the standard tables, A_c for E42/21/20 = $2.35 \times 10^{-4} m^2$ (i.e. $4.70 \times 10^{-4} m^2$ for the combination).

10 The following expression is used for determining the transformer's primary number of turns:

$$N_p = \frac{DE_{1m}}{A_C \times \Delta B \times f_s} \quad (22)$$

wherein E_{1m} is the maximum voltage applied to the primary (280V) and ΔB is the flux swing (which in turns out to be $B_m = 0.2T$ itself). Thus:

15

$$N_p = \frac{0.5 \times 280}{4.70 \times 10^{-4} \times 0.2 \times 25 \times 10^3} \approx 60 \quad (23)$$

Since, the transformer turns ratio is 4:1, secondary no. of turns, $N_s \approx 60/4 \approx 15$.

20 Those of skill in the art will appreciate that it is desirable to determine whether the core will accommodate the winding or not, thus:

$$K_w \times A_w \geq N_p \times a_p + N_s \times a_s \quad (24)$$

wherein a_p and a_s are the cross-section areas of the wires used for winding the
 25 primary and secondary respectively. If the primary current is I_p and secondary current is I_s , then the last equation may be re-written as:

$$K_w \times A_w \geq N_p \times \frac{I_p}{J} + N_s \times \frac{I_s}{J} \quad (25)$$

Substituting the values from the instant design,

$$0.4 \times 2.56 \times 10^{-4} \geq 60 \times \frac{4.5}{3 \times 10^6} + 15 \times \frac{12}{3 \times 10^6} \quad (26)$$

- 5 These calculations indicate it may not be possible to fit the required number of turns in this “core-pair-combination” also (although it depends on a number of factors like the quality of wire used and the expertise with which the transformer is wound). Accordingly, a combination of two pairs of E65/32/13 (tightly couple them to reduce the resulting air-gaps) EE cores was used instead of a single,
- 10 bigger pair. The area of cross section, A_c , for this combination comes out to be $2 \times 2.66 \times 10^{-4} m^2$. Using this value in (3) yields: $N_p \approx 53$ and so $N_s \approx 13$. Further, it is preferred that a suitable air-gap be inserted to realize an inductance of 0.562 mH. It is also preferred that litz or multi-strand wire of suitable gauge (depending on the current rating provided) be used for windings.

15

b. Inductor:

The governing area-product relation for the design of inductor is:

$$A_p = A_c \times A_w = \frac{2E}{K_w \times J \times B_m \times K_C} \quad (27)$$

20

wherein $K_C = \frac{I_{peak}}{I_{rms}} = \frac{9\sqrt{2}}{9} = \sqrt{2}$

and $E = \frac{1}{2} L I_{peak}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \times 9 \times 10^{-6} \times (9\sqrt{2})^2 = 0.729 mJ$ (28)

So,

25 $A_p = \frac{2 \times 729 \times 10^{-6}}{0.4 \times 3 \times 10^6 \times 0.2 \times \sqrt{2}} = 4.29 \times 10^{-9} m^4 = 4.29 \times 10^3 mm^4 = 4.29 \times 10^{-9} m^4$ (29)

From the standard tables for the CEL HP₃C grade EE cores, one of ordinary skill in the art would think that core E30/15/7 may be used. This core has an $A_C = 0.6 \times 10^{-4} m^2$. In actual practice, however, it is preferred that an EE core E 42/21/15 be used for the inductor with about eleven turns. This embodiment provides an air-gap ≈ 5 mm (which may be adjusted slightly to obtain the desired 9 μ H). It is also preferred to use any appropriate wire gauge to carry 9A current. Finally, it is preferred that litz or multi-strand wire be used for winding the inductor.

10 **Programming the EPROM:**

A sample EPROM coding is indicated by Table 1 below for each of four quadrants with hexadecimal (H) data in sequential hexadecimal addresses, as will be described. The EPROM data is effective only during phase 2. Phase 1 and Phase 2 are decided based on the comparison of V_i and V_o' which controls the opening and closing of the switches M1 through M4 in Fig. 8.

If there is a sag and if the control part is able to respond to this, then in the input current waveform also there will be a proportionate sag. Load conditions are taken care of by the controller.

TABLE 1

		<u>Location</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Data</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Data</u>
5	P	0000H	C4H	0020	C4	0040	F6
		0001	C4	0021	C7	0041	F7
		0002	C4	0022	CA	0042	F8
		0003	C4	0023	CD	0043	F8
		0004	C4	0024	CF	0044	F9
10		0005	C4	0025	D0	0045	F9
		0006	C4	0026	D4	0046	FA
		0007	C4	0027	D6	0047	FA
		0008	C4	0028	D8	0048	FB
		0009	C4	0029	DA	0049	FB
15		000A	C4	002A	DC	004A	FC
		000B	C4	002B	DE	004B	FC
		000C	C4	002C	DF	004C	FD
		000D	C4	002D	E1	004D	FD
		000E	C4	002E	E3	004E	FD
20		000F	C4	002F	E4	004F	FE
		0010	C4	0030	E6	0050	FE
		0011	C4	0031	E7	0051	FE
		0012	C4	0032	E8	0052	FF
		0013	C4	0033	EA	0053	FF
25		0014	C4	0034	EB	0054	FF
		0015	C4	0035	EC	0055	FF
		0016	C4	0036	ED	0056	FF
		0017	C4	0037	EE	0057	FF
		0018	C4	0038	EF	0058	FF
30		0019	C4	0039	F0	0059	FF
		001A	C4	003A	F1	005A	FF
		001B	C4	003B	F2	005B	FF
		001C	C4	003C	F3	005C	FF
		01D	C4	003D	F4	005D	FF
35		001E	C4	003E	F5	005E	FF
		001F	C4	003F	F5	005FH	FFHQ

Those of skill in the art will appreciate that the EPROM is loaded with data corresponding to the following formula derived earlier:

$$R_R C \frac{V_E}{V_R} = \frac{T_2}{L_1/L_2 - \left(L_1/L' \right) \times \left(N_1/N_2 \right) \times \left(\frac{V_o}{V_m \sin \alpha t} \right)} \quad (30)$$

It may be re-iterated that the stored EPROM data does not represent the instantaneous values of the input AC voltage waveform itself. Rather, it represents a sine-weighted string of duty cycles that the MOSFET should operate with at various time instants of the input AC waveform, so that a sinusoidal current is drawn from the input AC supply. The data for the first quarter of the rectified sine wave (0° to 90°) is given in Table 1 (going from P to Q). For the second quarter of the sine wave (90° to 180°) the same data are programmed in reverse order, starting from the location 0060H, going backwards (going from Q to P). The locations still remaining un-programmed, are programmed with C4H.

If there is a line frequency drift, it will vary the data length taken from the EPROM. The overall operation will not get affected. 400Hz operation, as in an airplane, is possible with the proposed PFC scheme. But the modulation frequency should preferably be increased to reduce the filter voltage drop and the EPROM data should be suitably changed.

Frequency Changeover Point and Duty Ratio

The FCOP and PCOP points can be refined to occur at different points on V_i to effect smoother transition from Phase 1 to Phase 2 as given in the following example to determine the appropriate EPROM data necessary for controlling the pulse duty ratio at the FCOP and beyond. Fig. 20, shows the variation of V_i , the rectified input AC voltage. Let θ_1 be the angle, corresponding to the phase change over point (PCOP) at which,

$$V_m \sin \theta_1 = \frac{N_1}{N_2} V_o = V_o' \quad (31)$$

where, $\frac{N_1}{N_2} = \frac{\text{Primary}}{\text{Secondary}}$ is turns ratio of the high frequency transformer.

θ_1 is the angle beyond which the EPROM decides the 'ON' width controlled by the error signal (generated by a comparison of the output voltage and reference output voltage). Let θ_2 be the angle at which frequency is doubled (called the frequency change over point, FCOP).

- 5 For the circuit designed to demonstrate the invention shown in Figs. 6 and 14, the first frequency occurring from 0 to θ_1 at $f_1 = 12.5$ kHz and the second frequency, from θ_2 to $\frac{\pi}{2}$, at $f_2 = 25$ kHz. Frequency changeover for $\theta > \frac{\pi}{2}$ to $\theta = \pi$, where f_2 reverts back to f_1 , mirrors the above condition in reverse order. The process repeats itself for the subsequent half cycles.

10

Let the input current $I_{in} = i_m \sin \theta$ (32)

At θ_1 , $I_{in} = i_m \sin \theta_1$ and max. pulse width duty cycle $D_{\theta_1} = 0.5$;

- 15 Let L_1 be the inductance of the primary.

Hence,

$$i_m \sin \theta_1 = \frac{V_m \sin \theta_1}{2L_1} D_{\theta_1}^2 T_1 \quad (33)$$

- 20 For, $\theta > \theta_1$ and DCM; Let L' be reflected inductance from the secondary

$$i_m \sin \theta = \frac{V_m \sin \theta D^2 T_1}{2L_1} + \frac{\left(V_m \sin \theta - \frac{N_1}{N_2} V_0 \right) D^2 T_1}{2L'} \quad (34)$$

Let $L' = L_1 / 4$; then

25

$$\begin{aligned} i_m \sin \theta &= \frac{V_m \sin \theta D^2 T_1}{2L_1} + \frac{\left(V_m \sin \theta - \frac{N_1}{N_2} V_0 \right) D^2 T_1}{\frac{L_1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{5}{2} \frac{V_m \sin \theta D^2 T_1}{L_1} - \frac{\left(\frac{N_1}{N_2} \right) V_0 2D^2 T_1}{L_1} \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

At θ_1 substituting $D_{\theta_1} = 0.5$ in Eqn. (33)

$$i_m \sin \theta_1 = \frac{V_m \sin \theta_1}{8L_1 f_1} \quad (36)$$

5

Hence, at θ_2

$$i_m \sin \theta_2 = \frac{V_m \sin \theta_2}{8L_1 f_1} \quad (37)$$

10 Substituting Eqn. (37) into Eqn. (35) for smooth energy flow across the FCOP point,

$$\frac{V_m \sin \theta_2}{8L_1 f_1} = \frac{5}{2} \frac{V_m \sin \theta_2 D^2}{L_1 f_1} - \frac{\left(\frac{N_1}{N_2}\right) V_0^2 D^2}{L_1 f_1}$$

15

$$\frac{V_m \sin \theta_2}{8} = \left\{ 2.5 V_m \sin \theta_2 - 2 \left(\frac{N_1}{N_2} \right) V_0^2 \right\} D^2 \quad (38)$$

Since, Eqn. (38) is independent of f , this equation is valid for $\theta_1 < \theta < \pi / 2$, and

$$20 \quad D = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{V_m \sin \theta_1}{8 \left\{ 2.5 V_m \sin \theta - 2 \left(\frac{N_1}{N_2} \right) V_0^2 \right\}}}}{\quad} \quad \text{for } \theta_1 < \theta < (180 - \theta_1) \quad (39)$$

provides the equation for the duty ratio in Phase 2.

As has been mentioned earlier, the peak currents in the secondary side can be reduced if the current contributed by the flyback action equals that of the forward action. If there is only flyback action, the peak current is given from Eqn. (33) namely

25

$$i_m = \frac{V_m D_{90}^2}{2L_1} T_1 \quad (40)$$

30

In Eqn (40) D_{90} is the duty cycle at 90° which is known from equation given below:

$$\max D_{90} = \frac{V_m \sin \theta_1}{V_m} \times 0.5$$

5

Hence, from Eqn. (40), for a given D_{90} , the current contribution from flyback action will be half if T_1 is changed to $T_1 / 2$, i.e. if the frequency is changed to $2f_1$

Advantages of the Invention:

10 (a) The proposed scheme employs duty cycle control in conjunction with two discrete operating frequencies. It does not require a continuous variation of operating frequency.

(b) The proposed control strategy, in conjunction with the power circuit stage used, helps to reduce the peak current stress on the secondary of the
15 transformer (including the devices present on the secondary side).

(c) The proposed control strategy, while simple, effectively reduces THD to between 1% and 2%.

(d) Since the “control stage” is integrated into the module, the user does not need to concern with designing the control circuit.

20 (e) The strategy is quite flexible in accommodating any output voltage settings desired by the user.

(f) The control strategy works with 115V/60Hz, 230V/50Hz or other frequency systems equally effectively.

(g) Since most applications involve a large filter capacitor at the output
25 (not to speak of the battery applications), the disadvantage of low-frequency ripple in the output is minimized because power is drawn throughout the cycle.

(h) The control idea may work the best with the hybrid topology of Fig. 4, but may work reasonably well with a flyback converter system also. The disadvantage (namely high peak currents when operating in discontinuous current
30 mode) is reduced to a great extent by using the proposed dual frequency control technique. But the performance will not be as good as with the hybrid topology in terms of peak current stress and EMI/RFI.

(i) In case of very low output voltage applications (typically 5V), the voltage drops across the output fast recovery diodes may become significant. It is recommended to use Schottky diodes in such a situation. The proposed control scheme works equally well and causes no disadvantages in such an application.

5 (j) With respect to (i) above, one might resort to “synchronous output rectification” technique in which the output diodes may be replaced with controlled devices (like Field Effect Transistors - FETs). With the advancement in technology, low voltage drop and low gate charge devices are now available, which might give them an edge over Schottky diodes for extremely low output
10 voltage (typ. 2 – 3V) applications. Control for the synchronous rectifiers is synchronized with the primary side control. Our proposed control strategy would work equally well in this case also.

(k) The control strategy can be adopted for various types of power supply applications because it can boost or buck the supply voltage. The various
15 applications are battery charging, DC drives, magnet power supplies, lab power supplies, computer power supplies and wherever a DC supply is needed.

(l) Since the secondary side current rating is reduced with the proposed control, the volume occupied by the magnetics will be reduced. Therefore, for a given power requirement, the proposed control strategy will lead to power
20 supplies more suitable for space-sensitive applications.

(m) The proposed control scheme renders the system highly suitable for applications where EMI/EMC is a critical issue. This is because of the following two merits:

25 (a) Because of near unity power factor operation, the power converter does not spill any noise into the AC mains.

(b) Since the proposed control scheme alternates between two operating frequencies, the high frequency spectrum of the noise spreads out resulting in an overall reduction of the noise dB level.

30 To highlight the superiority of the invented control scheme, Fig. 9 contrasts the performance of a conventional flyback converter with the converter

scheme of the present invention under similar operating conditions. For the flyback converter, $f_{switch} = 25$ kHz, while for the proposed control scheme (in conjunction with the dual, hybrid converter) $f_{switch} = 12.5$ kHz and 25 kHz (since the converter has a dual frequency operation). The transformer ratio = 4:1 for both cases.

Those of skill in the art will appreciate that:

(a) In the flyback case, as seen from Fig. 9, for an average current of 9.6A, the RMS current is 14.7A (peak current = 33.4A). For the proposed control scheme applied to the hybrid converter, for the same average current of 9.6A, the RMS current is only 11A (peak current = 19.2A), which is a substantial reduction in the secondary RMS current rating by 25% (and peak current by 42.5%)

(b) In addition to (a), the conduction duration when V_{in} is proportional to the reflected DC voltage is about 35μsec. Hence, controllability at lighter loads is improved, leading to very suitable waveforms in this region.

The disclosed control strategy reduces the peak currents in the secondary of the transformer and the diodes to nearly 60% that of the flyback or voltage mode converters.

In summary, the present invention utilizes a novel power factor correction scheme combining duty cycle and frequency control as depicted in Fig. 5. This control scheme is applied to a hybrid power circuit topology (depicted in Fig. 4) resulting in a single-stage, single-switch power factor correction configuration. Under this control scheme, the converter operates with a constant duty cycle with operating frequency f_1 for a given load condition in phase 1, while it operates at a frequency $f_2 = 2 \times f_1$ and with modulated duty cycle during phase 2, with the frequency changeover from f_1 to f_2 taking place at some suitable point to achieve a good power factor.

This is a significant improvement over an earlier control scheme [15], which used a single, constant frequency and two fixed duty cycles, depending on the comparison of the rectified input voltage and the reflected output voltage (i.e. phase 1 or phase 2). It should be noted that in accordance with the novel strategy proposed herein, only two discrete operating frequencies are used along with duty

cycle control. Continuous variable frequency control is not needed. Thus the control is not complex and cost is lower.

The invented improvement results in a drastic reduction of input harmonics and EMI (because of bi-frequency operation, the noise spectrum spreads out). The proposed configuration has the great advantage of reduced peak current stresses on the devices and therefore reduced weight and volume of the magnetics required for a given application. This makes the invention attractive for applications where volume and weight are major considerations. Besides, the control scheme is equally applicable in related applications like synchronous output rectification (needed for very low output voltage applications).

The proposed configuration is specifically suited for 'buck' applications where low DC output voltage (e.g. 24V, 48V) is needed. For example, this configuration will be of interest to industries associated with battery charging and UPS design and fabrication work. In view of the anticipated wide application of the proposed configuration, it has been integrated into a smart power module. The module integrates the power stage and the control stage into a single compact and reliable power system.

Although the exemplary smart module for illustrating the principles underlining the invention operates at 50 Hz to 60 Hz, the invention can also be applied to higher frequency applications. It should be noted, however, when the utility frequency increases, the switching frequency should preferably be increased to ensure low filter voltage drops. Ultimately, the highest frequency the invention can be applied to will be restricted by the availability of high frequency high power switches.

Having described and illustrated the principles of the invention in a preferred embodiment thereof, it should be apparent that the invention can be modified in arrangement and detail without departing from the principles disclosed. We claim all modifications and variation coming within the spirit and scope of the following claims.

TABLE 2 – PRINTED CIRCUIT BOARD

Designation	Type	Reference
Chip Resistors	10R/0,25W	R22, R23
Chip Resistors	10R/0,125W	R39
Chip Resistors	100/0,125W	R27
Chip Resistors	1K/0,125W	R1, R2, R13, R18, R34,R35, R40,R41, R43, R50
Chip Resistors	10K/0,125W	R3, R6, R8, R9, R15, R25, R28, R30, R37, R38, R42, R44, R46, R47
Chip Resistors	100K/0,125W	R7
Chip Resistors	12K/0,125W	R49
Chip Resistors	150K/0,125W	R21, R48
Chip Resistors	2,2K/0,125W	R17, R19, R26
Chip Resistors	220K/0,125W	R14, R25
Chip Resistors	3,3K/0,125W	R51
Chip Resistors	470R/0,125W	R12
Chip Resistors	4,7K/0,125W	R5, R10, R11, R29, R31, R33
Chip Resistors	47K/0,125W	R16
Chip Resistors	5,6K/0,125W	R24, R32, R36
Chip Resistors	6,8K/0,125W	R19
Chip Resistors	8,2K/0,125W	R20
Ceramic Capacitors	100pF 50V X7R	C1, C18, C19, C25
Ceramic Capacitors	1nF 50V X7R	C4, C24, C26, C27, C28
Ceramic Capacitors	10nF 50V X7R	C5, C20, C21,
Ceramic Capacitors	100nF 50V X7R	C7, C8, C9, C10, C11, C12, C14, C15,C16, C17, C29, C30, C31, C32, C33
Ceramic Capacitors	22nF 50V X7R	C22
Ceramic Capacitors	3,3nF 50V X7R	C6
Ceramic Capacitors	4,7nF 50V X7R	C23
Ceramic Capacitors	47nF 50V X7R	C3
Tantalum Capacitors	33 μ F 25V	C2
Tantalum Capacitors	6,8 μ F 35V	C13
Diode	LL4148	CR1 to CR14
NPN Transistor 45V	SO2222A	Q1, Q2

TABLE 2 CONTINUED

PNP Transistor 45V	SO2907A	Q3
Timing Circuit	NE556D/SGS, TI	MA4
Optocoupler/Driver	HCPL3120 /Agilent	Z1
5V Voltage Regulator	78M05Z/On Semi	MA1
Quad operational Amplifier	LM324D/On Semi	MA5, MA6
OTC EPROM 32Kx8	AM27C256/AMD,STM	MN3
8 bit D/A Converter	DAC0808LCM/National Semi	MA2
12 Bit Binary Counter	MC14040BD/On Semi	MN2
Quad Analog Switch	MC14066BD/On Semi	MN1
Potentiometer	3296W47K/Bourns	P1
Signal Connector	Comatel 14pts/Comatel	J1
PC Board MC4	71 x 48 mm	
Adjusted during Test if necessary		R4, R45

5

TABLE 3 – POWER PART

MOSFET 500V 100mohms	APT5010DVR/APT	Q1
Schottky Diodes 100V 60A	DWS32-100/IXYS	CR5, CR6, CR7, CR8
Rectifier Diodes 1200V 35A	DWP 35-12/18/IXYS	CR1, CR2, CR3, CR4
10W Shunt Resistor	PMB0,01R/10W/Isabellenhutte	R1
NTC Thermistor	68K /0,125W/LCC	R2
Power Connectors		
Signal Connectors		
JP6 Wall	MP0083A	
IMS Substrate Al3mm Cu70µm	MSxxxx/Berquist	